

REPORT FROM BRAZIL

By W. BUHLER

CONTACTEE

Interview with Prof. Joao de Freitas Guimaraes
in Santos, Sao Paulo, Brazil, June 25, 1961.

Question 1 - There seems to exist a multiplicity of forms of Flying Saucers. Could it be possible that one or another is identical to that one described to have contacted at the beach of Sao Sebastiao?

Answer: Yes. I know that the F.S. that was photographed over the island Trindade by the photographer Hiro Barauna was identical to the F.S. described by me. This I saw when I was invited on board the mid-ocean-schooling trainer "Almirante Saldanha da Gama" by Dr. Vancome on behalf of the officers of the aforementioned ship. I stayed there during 4 hours and all the time Dr. Vancome was present as witness and I can remember well there were shown to me 6 photographs which demonstrated the course of the F.S. in succession.

Also a F.S. photographed at the city section of Ilha da Boa Vista in Rio de Janeiro (publ. by "O Cruzeiro" Aug., 16, 1958) is identical to the F.S. that I had contacted in 1956 (it seems to me to have

29

S. JAN-62

"Radio Cultura" of Sao Paulo and to TV Tupi. The latter when approached afterwards with the tape, refused to put all on the air, as expected.

Question 2 - This what you just was telling me, why didn't you tell also to the press or didn't publish it yourself?

Answer: Really I did mention it, verbally, to a restricted number of persons, but I didn't publish it, since I met only indifference of many, unbelief of almost all, so I felt that only a few ones were able to probe in it, when, otherwise, for myself it seemed of utmost importance. They announced that whenever I would write something this would have been for publicity of political purposes and so I wrote nothing. Also the press tried to distort the facts or to impute to me others I had not referred, all this, as it seems me, to jeopardize and ridicularize my person, to confuse the public opinion, when the latter had, at that time, the perfect right to be clarified. In relation to the reality of the facts, those should be known by the people without modifications, and without interference of occult or incomprehensible motives, which turn more difficult a judicious judgment of the matter, which nevertheless, wasn't unknown in our country (Brazil). I (therefore) ask why do they not publish those photographs (of Flying Saucers) which do exist in the files of the Brazilian Air-Force (FAB), as told by the Colonel Coqueiro, to give the publication, then,

31

been on June 16) on the beach of Sao Sebastiao. Since there had been settled another contact with the crew of the Saucer for Aug. 12, '57, and since this event got to be known by the public 3 weeks earlier, I also had made a sketch (of the F.S. I met) one year earlier to the Alto da Boa Vista Saucer and identical to it.

Also when I made that sketch of a Saucer for the Col (of the bras. Air-Force) Coqueiro he told me that in the files of the Brazilian Air-Force (FAB) there exists a photograph of a F. Saucer identical to my sketch and still he added "you shouldn't go to your (presettled) meeting with this Flying Saucer, since we (the Air-Force) will have there (on the beach of Sao Sebastiao) two pursuit squadrons to meet the Saucer", and this (all) was told in the presence of the clerk of the public notary of the 5th office of the city of Santos, with Dr. Gabriel Alca, his brother and my own son present. Nevertheless I took the resolution not to go to the meeting, since that the day before passed away the mother of my father in law and I also was exhausted, since during day and night, and sometimes until the early morning hours, I had to attend the reporters from all the country and I knew that a great mass of people was moving to the settled spot for the meeting. But I also knew that really the F.S. had been at Sao Sebastiao at the beach of Paraquecaba and those persons gave testimony about it at the T.V. Tupi Sao Paulo (Capital) indicating still the names of other persons who also had been present. Even so a tape had been made of the attempts of the phone call to

the character of a "compilation about Flying Saucers"?

What hurts me most are the persons I believed civilized (cultured), but who were incapable to admit a matter, nor at least its possibility, as this would be expected from a serious investigator. By this means the learned conviction (of those persons) against the reality of the facts, was (still) authorized and sublined by a "stupid laugh".

Question 3 - Is there (any) coincidence in the description of the Cosmos as given by Gagarin and by you?

Answer: There is entire coincidence of Gagarin's description and mine, given 4 years ago, as one may verify by comparing the descriptions given by that Cosmonaut to the press (1. ed. "Folha de Sao Paulo", April 14, 1961) and mine, as published in the papers and (later) at the request of the nucleo Ubaldino de Metafisica, in the Bull. "Monismo" at Christmas 1959. When one distances himself very much from our earth, then one is unable to know where is the Sun, since even so near the Earth the Sun is orange colored, and at the distance of 200 km. approximately has the color of gold, at a much greater distance, there one doesn't see any more the colors of the light of the Sun, and one doesn't know where it is and how it looks, when just before, "Our Sun" has been given such a tranquilizing feeling as a companion to the traveller who leaves earth.